



# Pilot Study: Pulsed Electromagnetic Field Therapy (PEMFT) Alleviates Symptoms of Osteoarthritis



Kaitlin Shaw, Sheena Symington and Magda Havas\*

Trent University, Canada

Submission: June 05, 2017; Published: July 31, 2017

\*Corresponding author: Magda Havas, Trent School of the Environment, Trent University, Peterborough, Canada, Email: [mhavas@trentu.ca](mailto:mhavas@trentu.ca)

## Abstract

This study was designed to determine whether pulsed electromagnetic field therapy (PEMFT) could alleviate common symptoms of arthritis such as pain, swelling and immobility and whether it could contribute to overall wellbeing. Five volunteers, all of whom were diagnosed with osteoarthritis, participated in the study. Subjects treated themselves with the Centurion EZY system for 20 minutes, two to three times per week for four weeks. Subjects were tested for various physiological parameters including blood pressure, heart rate variability, balance of the autonomic nervous system (ANS), and stress at the beginning of the trial and at the end. An electro-interstitial scan was conducted to provide additional wellness parameters and a photograph was taken to document range of motion. Results were assessed on a case-by-case basis. PEMFT improved mobility in two of the cases after just one treatment and reduced pain and/or swelling in four of the five cases within 4 weeks. Additionally, general wellness related to cardiovascular health and balance of the ANS of participants improved throughout the course of the study. PEMF therapy may be a useful form of therapy to promote healing and to reduce symptoms of pain, swelling and immobility among patients with osteoarthritis. Furthermore, these treatments may have overall benefits to the health of the cardiovascular and the autonomic nervous system. Further testing needs to be done with a larger sample size to confirm these initial findings.

**Keywords:** Pulsed electromagnetic field therapy; PEMFT; Centurion EZY system; Osteoarthritis; Pain; Inflammation; Circulation

## Introduction

Arthritis is a degenerative disease characterized by joint pain [1]. People suffering from severe forms of arthritis experience an impaired quality of life and are faced with few treatment options that provide long-term relief [2]. The most common types of arthritis are osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis, the former of which is the focus of this pilot study.

Osteoarthritis is a dynamic but gradual disease that can ultimately lead to loss of joint function or significant disablement [3,4]. Currently, there is no cure for arthritis, and treatment options focus mainly on symptom management [1].

Traditional options for treating arthritis include oral non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), acetaminophen, and corticosteroid injections; which come with side effects such as abdominal pain and damage to central organs [5-7]. Non-pharmacological treatments include diet management to reduce strain on the joints, exercise to improve mobility, splints and joint protection techniques, hot and cold therapy, chiropractics, physiotherapy, acupuncture and more invasive treatments like surgery [1,6]. The relative lack of viable long-term non-

pharmacological treatments for arthritis combined with the risks of drug therapy provided the motivation for this study, especially since past studies involving pulsed electromagnetic field therapy (PEMFT) have found no adverse effects on the body, even after prolonged use [8].

PEMF technology was officially approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 1979 and has been used to treat various ailments and illnesses for the past 50 years [9]. The therapy was originally used to treat non-union bone fractures and is now used to treat rotator cuff tendinitis, peripheral nerve regeneration, and various other illnesses and ailments of the bone and ligaments causing pain and swelling [10]. Since PEMFT has been recognized to improve circulation and promote healing of inflammation-related pain [1], it shows promise as a treatment for arthritis. Using PEMFT to treat patients with arthritis is not common practice [1,6] and has not been approved by Health Canada. This study attempts to determine if PEMFT (provided by the Centurion EZY System) can alleviate symptoms of arthritis such as pain, swelling and immobility, based on a combination of subjective and objective tests.

## Methods

Trent University Research Ethics Board approved this research. The study was performed as a clinical trial and participants were responsible for treating themselves following a short-introduction on how to use the PEMF technology.

## Subjects

This study monitored five participants with osteoarthritis all of whom volunteered to be tested. One male and four females between the ages of 60 and 72 were included in this study. These

participants were recruited at a local chiropractor's office, where the study took place. All participants were receiving chiropractic care prior to, and throughout the study. Initially, an information session was held at the chiropractor's clinic and nine potential participants arrived, but only seven were present at initial testing, five of whom had diagnosed osteoarthritis. Symptoms of the subjects differed and each participant was monitored individually. Participant codes were used to maintain anonymity throughout the study with age and gender being part of the code. Participant information is provided in (Table 1).

**Table 1:** General information about participants with diagnosed osteoarthritis.

Participant	Primary Arthritis	Secondary Arthritis	General Notes
Case 1 F64	Arms & Hands	Feet	Several arthritis leading to disfigurement of hands and feet; Major concerns are weakness and immobility
Case 2 F60	Shoulder	Hands and Fingers	Receives Physiotherapy to improve mobility; Major concerns are swelling, pain, and immobility
Case 3 F61	Neck	Lumbar	Suffered from Jaw cancer, has disfigured jaw; Major concern is immobility
Case 4 F72	Right Shoulder	Left Knee	Major concerns are discomfort and immobility
Case 5 M64	Hips and Lower Back	knees	Past injuries to knee and lowerback still causing pain; Major concerns are pain and immobility; Recent weight loss

## Treatment with PEMF therapy



**Figure 1:** Centurion EZY System for at home use.

The PEMF device used in this study is the Centurion EZY system, which is intended for home use. The portion of the body to be treated is placed within the device, which is a cylinder large enough to fit around the whole body (Figure 1). The device is easy to use, which allowed participants to treat themselves. Two buttons on a remote attached to the cylinder enabled the user to adjust pulse frequency (from 2, 8, 15, and 30 pulses per second) and duration of treatment (20, 30 to 60 minutes). Participants were instructed to use the therapy two to three times each week over the course of four weeks in the local chiropractic clinic.

All participants began with a treatment at 2 Hz for 20 minutes. The frequency was increased to 15 Hz for an additional week and to 30 Hz the following week provided no adverse symptoms were experienced. None of the subjects experienced adverse symptoms related to PEMFT during the study.

In order to eliminate the placebo effect, two different Centurion devices were used (labeled A and B). Each participant was assigned to one of the two devices, and all participants were told that one device was non-functioning. In reality, both devices were fully functioning and therefore allowed us to treat all participants while minimizing the placebo effect.

## Subjective questions

Before and after each treatment, participants completed a questionnaire where they rated their symptoms on a scale of 0–10 for each location of arthritis that was being treated. For example, if a participant experienced pain, and immobility; they would rate their pain and immobility before and after each treatment on an increasing scale of 0–10 (where 10 is the most severe). Participants were also contacted weekly to document their experience. General questions asked were:

- i. Have you experienced any changes in symptoms over the last week?
- ii. Has the device caused any discomfort?
- iii. Have you experienced any change over the course of the study?

## Objective monitoring

Subjects were tested for various health parameters at the beginning and at the end of the four-week study. Devices used included blood pressure monitoring; Max Pulse to monitor heart rate variability and to provide information on stress response; ESTeck to assess the interstitial environment; and photography

to document changes in mobility, swelling, and disfigurement. Each participant was monitored before exposure to PEMF therapy and at the end of the four-week study. These monitoring techniques are described below.

### Range of motion

We photographed each subject to document changes in range of motion and deformities at the beginning and at the end of the study. We also photographed subjects after their first 20-minute treatment to determine immediate changes, if any.

### Heart rate variability and stress response

Max Pulse is an FDA Class II medical screening device that provides measurements using photoelectric plethysmography, accelerated plethysmography, and other technologies to assess overall cardiovascular and ANS wellness. It provides information on the relative balance of the sympathetic and parasympathetic autonomic nervous system (ANS), stress level, condition of blood vessels, and heart health (Medicare, Max Pulse User Manual).

### The electro-interstitial environment

The ESTeck electro-interstitial body-scan works in conjunction with the data from the Max Pulse to provide an assessment of overall health ([www.ldteck.com](http://www.ldteck.com)). ESTeck is commonly used by healthcare practitioners to track changes in a patient's health and wellbeing. ESTeck provides information about heart rate variability, heart rate, stress, systolic and diastolic pressure, systematic vascular resistance, dissolved

blood oxygen, blood volume, maximum oxygen volume, and a homeostasis score. Analysis involves bioelectrical impedance. From this, it is easy to see progression or regression in each field in order to determine if health is changing. For ESTeck assessments, the patient is seated with feet and hands placed flat on separate metal sensor plates, and with two electrodes positioned on the forehead above the eye brows. A finger pulse oxymeter probe is used to estimate percentage of hemoglobin that is saturated with oxygen ( $SpO_2$ ). Additional information provided for this program to operate optimally is age, gender, height, weight, blood pressure, ethnicity and level of physical activity.

### Results

Each case was examined individually since symptoms and areas affected by arthritis differed.

#### Case 1: F64

Case 1 is a 64-year-old female who was diagnosed with arthritis in the hands and feet 34 years ago. She is five feet tall, 165 pounds, and reports very light daily activity. The participant experiences symptoms of arthritis throughout her body and also experiences pain and mobility issues in her arms. Case 1 suffers from severe finger and hand and foot deformities and had the most advanced arthritis in the study (Figure 2). Her major concerns were weakness and immobility (Table 1). This individual received a total of nine 20-minute treatments to shoulders and feet.



**Figure 2:** Case 1 is a 64-year old female with severe deformity of hands and feet due to osteoarthritis. These photographs were taken before PEMF therapy. No changes were noted in the degree of deformity following PEMF treatments.

## Novel Techniques in Bone Arthritis & Development Research


**Table 2:** Stress score based on MaxPulse heart rate variability before and after PEMF treatment.

Participant	Treatments (n)	Physical Stress		Mental Stress		Stress Resistance		Changes	
		Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before (+)	After (-)
Case 1 F64	8	65	41 +	69	0 +	100	0 -	2	1
Case 2 F60	12	54	41	100	66 +	37	80 +	2	0
Case 3 F61	13	48	43	76	35 +	56	60	1	0
Case 4 F72	8	58	60 -	63	41 +	45	41	1	1
Case 5 M64	16	71	53 +	12	40 +	43	43	2	0
very high	Changes	Before (+)	2		5		1	8	
Above normal		Worse (-)	1		0		1		2
Normal		Net Improvement	1		5		0	6	
below Normal									

Changes following PEMFT are indicated by symbols for better (+) and worse (-) for each case.

Initially, Case 1 could not form a fist and had difficulty raising her right arm above her head and both arms behind her back. After an initial treatment she could form a fist and had more mobility of her arms that continued to improve with time (Figure 3). Mobility of her feet also improved slightly. Weakness was a major concern and there was some evidence that 15 Hz frequencies alleviated some of her weakness especially in her hands and arms. Despite the fact that Case 1 had the


most advanced form of arthritis, improvement in mobility was both rapid and dramatic, which shows promise for those with severe arthritic symptoms. Case 1 also experienced reduced physical and mental stress during the study (Table 2). PEMFT significantly reduced arthritic symptoms for Case 1. She has elected to continue with treatments beyond the four-week test period.



**Figure 3:** Case 1 is a 64-year old female with osteoarthritis. Images of hand and shoulder mobility before PEMFT, after 1 treatment and after 9 treatments during a 4-week period. Note change in fingers within circle.

### Case 2: F60

Case 2 is a 60-year old female with arthritis in the left hand and right shoulder that was diagnosed approximately seven years ago. The individual is 5 feet 8 inches and 160 pounds, and reports about two hours per week of physical activity. Arthritis in the left hand has produced a finger deformity in the pointer finger, and the Case 2 notes that the pain from this finger has been spreading to other fingers over the past several months. This individual attends physiotherapy once weekly, but did not attend physiotherapy for two weeks during this study, and upon returning to the physiotherapist the doctor noted that mobility had improved during that time. Note that this individual stopped doing physiotherapist-recommended exercises at home, and the improvement occurred while the participant was using the PEMF therapy. This individual received a total of 12 treatments.



**Figure 4:** Case 2 is a 60-year old female with osteoarthritis. Images of shoulder and finger mobility before PEMFT, after 1 treatment, and after 12 treatments.

The progression of photos for Case 2 show that her right arm was able to extend slightly further in the “after 1 treatment” photo, and was able to extend significantly further in the “after 12 treatments” photo (Figure 4). The hand images for Case 2 show improved mobility in the fingers after the first treatment and she experienced significantly less pain and less swelling of both hands and shoulder following PEMF treatment. Mental stress reduced and stress resistance increased for Case 2 based

on Max Pulse HRV (Table 2). Clearly PEMFT reduced symptoms of arthritis experienced by Case 2 and also reduced her level of mental stress. She has also elected to continue with weekly PEMF treatments.

### Case 3: F61

Case 3 is a 61-year-old female who was diagnosed with osteoarthritis in the neck and lumbar 12 years ago. This participant suffered from mouth cancer in the past, and the radiation treatment for this left her with chronic jaw pain. Case 3 is 5 feet 2 inches, 120 pounds and has a moderate activity level. She received 13 PEMF treatments in total. Case 3 experienced a slight decrease in pain and swelling of her neck and a decrease in swelling of her lumbar region following PEMFT but no noticeable change in mobility, which was her major concern. This subject had a significant decrease in mental stress (Table 2) and considerable improvement in six of the nine parameters for her cardiovascular and autonomic nervous system by the end of the study including a normal heart rate, a balance of her ANS based on HRV, and normalized specific oxygen, estimated blood volume and homeostasis score (Table 3). Improved heart rate variability reflects the body’s ability to moderate the autonomic system and improved homeostasis score represents the body’s ability to adapt to changes such as disease or illness [11]. While PEMFT did not reduce her primary concern of limited mobility in the neck and lumbar regions, improvements in her cardiovascular health and ANS were noted during this study.

### Case 4: F72

Case 4 is a 72-year old female, who was diagnosed with osteoarthritis in the right shoulder and left knee approximately two years ago. This individual is 5 feet and 148 pounds, and reports moderate daily activity. This individual experiences worse pain in the knee when walking. Case 4 received a total of eight treatments. Her major concerns were with discomfort and immobility, neither of which improved during this study. She reported no noticeable physical changes during the testing period. Mental stress was much lower at the end of the study and physical stress was marginally higher (Table 2). Her ANS was better balanced and her cardiovascular response was mixed (Table 3). Case 4 improved the least of the 5 Cases tested.

**Table 3:** Results from ESTeck before and after PEMFT. Data not available for case 1.

Participant	Case 2: F60		Case 3: F61		Case 4: F72		Case 5: M64	
PEMF Treatments (n)	12		13		8		16	
Testing	Baseline	After PEMF	Baseline	After PEMF	Baseline	After PEMF	Baseline	After PEMF
Heart Rate	High	Very - High	High	Normal +	High	Normal +	High	Low +
Systolic Blood Pressure	High	Very - High	Low	Low	Low	High	High	Normal +
DioSystolic Blood Pressure	Normal	Normal	Very Low	Very Low	Very low	Low +	High	Normal +
HRV LF (sympathetic)	Very High	Very High	Very High	Normal +	Very High	Normal +	Very High	Normal +

HRV HF (Parasympathetic)	Normal	Normal	High	Normal +	Normal	Normal	Normal	High -
stress Index	High	High	Normal	Normal +	Normal	Normal	High	Normal +
SpO2	Normal	Normal	Very High	Normal +	Normal	Very low -	Normal	Normal
Est BV	Normal	Normal	High	Normal +	Low	High	Normal	Normal
Homeostatis	Low	Normal +	Warning	Normal +	Normal	Warning -	Low	Warning -
Better (+)	1		6		3		5	
Worse (-)	1		0		0		2	
Net Change	0		6		1		3	

### Case 5: M64

Case 5 is a 64-year old male, who was diagnosed with osteoarthritis in the hips, knees and lower back prior to 2012. He also experiences pain from past injuries, including a torn meniscus in the knee and a lower back injury and his symptoms become worse in cool, damp weather. He is 5 feet 10 inches and weighs 212 pounds. This individual received 16 treatments. He increased physical activity and changed his diet resulting in weight loss during the study, which may explain some of the results observed.

His major concerns were pain and immobility (Table 1). A slight but insignificant change was noticed in the pain associated with his hip. Case 5 stated that he experienced slightly better mobility and less pain while walking. Based on Max Pulse, subject showed improvements in both physical and mental stress (Table 2), which was supported by the ESteck stress index. According to ESteck, Case 5 shifted from sympathetic to parasympathetic dominance and his blood pressure changed from high to normal (Table 3), which may be due in part or entirely to his weight loss.

### Discussion

The use of magnets for the purpose of healing dates back to Plato, Aristotle and Homer, and during the renaissance, magnets were used to minimize inflammation [1]. In short, this is not a new technology, and is surrounded by a large field of research. The use of magneto therapy began soon after World War II in Japan, and then the technology travelled to Europe [7]. From 1960-1985 it was commonplace for European countries to design and create their own version of a magneto therapy device. During this time, literature on the topic began appearing, the most significant of which treated 2700 patients with 33 different pathologies. In the 1970's, the therapy was used to treat non-union fractures, and since then it has been approved by the FDA for that purpose. Ten years after the initial approval by the FDA for non-union fractures, the technology was approved for treatment of pain and edema in soft tissues [7]. Prior to approval from the FDA, several trials were performed in order to monitor efficacy, and the success rate of these trials averaged from 70-80% [9].

Pulsed electromagnetic field therapy (PEMFT) is a technology that uses pulsed electromagnetic fields to stimulate

either a specific area of the body to improve specific symptoms, or the whole body to improve overall wellbeing. The higher the frequency used in the therapy, the more often the electromagnetic fields are pulsed through the device. For example, 2 Hz means two pulses per second, and 30 Hz means thirty pulses per second. Various frequencies and waveforms have been found to have different effects on various disorders [7,12].

PEMFT does not heal the body, but rather aids the body in repairing itself. The exact mechanisms that occur in the body are complex and not entirely understood, however, a large body of science surrounds this topic and there is a general consensus in how and why the therapy works among the research community [7,13].

PEMFs are meant to stimulate piezoelectric potentials using Faraday currents in extracellular fluid [9]. The movement of electrolytes in bone channels and fixed charges generate streaming potentials cause piezoelectric potentials, so the PEMFT is mimicking a natural phenomenon that occurs in the body [14]. In other words, PEMFT generates the same changes within cartilage and bone as natural cartilage compression produced by movement, which causes the fluid and accompanying electrolytes surrounding this cartilage and bone to circulate [14]. This circulation of extracellular fluid is believed to be key in the healing process as influenced by PEMFT.

The use of PEMFs also creates a forced vibration of free ions on the plasma membrane of each cell, which can in turn affect the cell's electrochemical balance and also its function [15]. As free ions in the extra cellular matrix are circulated, cartilage repair should improve and pain should subside [14,16]. Along with this, mobility should improve as well as minor-to-moderate disfigurements.

PEMFT has also been shown to encourage nerve regeneration *in vivo* studies, caused by an up-regulation of A2A adenosine receptors, which modifies the response in human neutrophils [16]. Cells in the extracellular fluid as well as cells in nerves are altered, encouraging healthy growth and regeneration, and generally improving healing and well being [9,16].

Today, it is quite common to see PEMFT being used in doctors' offices and clinics to remedy an array of ailments [8]. Studies have confirmed that PEMFT can be helpful in

treating osteoarthritis, abnormal ossification, osteoporosis, nasosinusitis, multiple sclerosis, Parkinson's disease, spastic paresis, diabetic poly neuropathy and retinopathy, vegetative neurosis, peptic ulcers, colon irritable ulcers, trophic ulcers, and several other health complications [17].

The purpose of the current study was to determine whether PEMFT using the Centurion EZY system can alleviate symptoms of osteoarthritis. Of the five cases tested, two experienced significant improvement in mobility by the end of the study and one of these experienced improvement after the first 20-minute treatment. Slight to significant reductions in pain and swelling were reported by four of the five cases. Furthermore, improvement in cardiovascular health and in ANS balance was also observed in four of the cases by the end of the four-week test period.

Several studies have been done over the past several decades to determine if PEMF therapy would be useful to treat arthritis. Overwhelmingly, the conclusion to these studies is that PEMFT would be a valuable therapy to those suffering from various types of arthritis. Human studies that have been conducted include PEMF to treat osteoarthritis in the knee, the cervical spine, osteoarthritis in general, and treatment of various other arthritis symptoms [3,4,8,10,18-21]. Each of these studies were done differently, using different methods and different versions of technology, but all resulted in the conclusion that PEMF technology would be helpful in treating arthritis in some capacity [8]. Some of these papers also looked at the toxicity of using PEMFT, and found that there was no measurable toxicity or side effects to the use of a PEMF device [8].

Experiments have also been performed on various laboratory animals with arthritis and PEMFT is used in veterinary clinics to treat horses and other large mammals as well as small domestic pets for various ailments ranging from broken bones to soft tissue healing to pain reduction. Use of animals to test the technology provides more conclusive evidence of the effectiveness of PEMFT. Again, these studies concluded that the technology being used caused no adverse effects and that PEMF therapy may be useful to treat arthritis in humans as well [13,14,22-29].

Additionally, studies have been performed *in vitro* to determine the effect PEMF has on cells. These studies determined that PEMF exposure could trigger cell proliferation and glycosaminoglycan synthesis in cartilage, thereby altering the extracellular fluid surrounding the cartilage, which encourages healing of the cartilage [30,31].

According to Ganesan [1], analysis of various studies conclusively shows that PEMF not only alleviates arthritic pain but it also affords chondro protection, exerts anti-inflammatory action and helps in bone remodeling and this could be developed as a viable alternative for arthritis therapy. Overall, PEMFT appears to be a promising technology to treat arthritis, and it will be used more frequently in the future.

### Conclusion

Pulsed electromagnetic field therapy (PEMFT) is used globally for a number of ailments ranging from rapid recovery following surgery to healing of non-union bone fractures to reduced depression with no obvious side effects after prolonged use. PEMFT has been documented to improve circulation, reduce inflammation and alleviate pain and as such may be a useful treatment for people suffering from arthritis. According to this study, PEMFT, generated by the Centurion EZY system, alters symptoms of arthritis that include some combination of reduced pain, reduced swelling and improved mobility. Responses varied among the volunteers tested with some showing immediate and significant improvements after a single treatment and others are having minimal effects by the end of the test period. PEMFT was also associated with improved overall wellness related to cardiovascular health and autonomic nervous system balance and manifested itself as a form of stress reduction and sympathetic down-regulation. Use of this technology, during the four-week period, resulted in no obvious harmful effects. Clearly, this pilot study needs to be replicated with more cases and a longer test period to verify the results. Should they be verified, PEMFT may be an effective, easy to self-administer, non-invasive treatment with no known side-effects for those suffering from minor to severe osteoarthritis. Benefits in terms of patient wellbeing and reduced health care costs are likely to be considerable.

### References

1. Ganesan K, Gengadharan AC, Balachandran C, Manohar BM, Puvanakrishnan R (2009) Low frequency pulsed electromagnetic field-a viable alternative therapy for arthritis. *Indian J Exp Biol* 47(12): 939-948.
2. Alamanos Y, Drosos AA (2005) Epidemiology of adult rheumatoid arthritis. *Autoimmun Rev* 4(3): 130-136.
3. Wuschech H, Von Hehn U, Mikus E, Funk RH (2015) Effects of PEMF on patients with osteoarthritis: Results of a prospective, placebo-controlled, double-blind study. *Bioelectromagnetics* 36(8): 576-585.
4. Zizic TM, Hoffman KC, Holt PA, Hungerford DS, O'Dell, et al. (1995) The treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee with pulsed electrical stimulation. *J Rheumatol* 22(9): 1757-61.
5. Hochberg MC (2008) Mortality in osteoarthritis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 26(5): S120-S124.
6. Hochberg MC, Altman RD, April KT, Benkhalti M, Guyatt G, et al. (2012) American College of Rheumatology 2012 recommendations for the use of nonpharmacologic and pharmacologic therapies in osteoarthritis of the hand, hip, and knee. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 64(4): 465-474.
7. Markov MS (2007) Pulsed electromagnetic field therapy history, state of the art and future. *The Environmentalist* 27(4): 465-475.
8. Pilla AA (2006) Mechanisms and therapeutic applications of time-varying and static magnetic fields. *Biological and medical aspects of electromagnetic fields*, CRC Press, USA.
9. Trock DH, Bollet AJ, Markoll R (1994) The effect of pulsed electromagnetic fields in the treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee and cervical spine. Report of randomized, double blind, placebo controlled trials. *J Rheumatol* 21(10): 1903-11.
10. Trock DH, Bollet AJ, Dyer RH, Fieding LP, Miner WK, et al. (1993) A

- double-blind trial of the clinical effects of pulsed electromagnetic fields in osteoarthritis. *J Rheumatol* 20: 456-460.
11. Evans S, Seidman LC, Tsao JC, Lung KC, Zeltzer LK, et al. (2013). Heart rate variability as a biomarker for autonomic nervous system response differences between children with chronic pain and healthy control children. *J Pain Res* 6: 449-457.
  12. Funk RH, Monsees TK (2006) Effects of electromagnetic fields on cells: physiological and therapeutical approaches and molecular mechanisms of interaction. *Cells Tissues Organs* 182(2): 59-78.
  13. Chang K, Chang WHS, Wu ML, Shih C (2003) Effects of different intensities of extremely low frequency pulsed electromagnetic fields on formation of osteoclast-like cells. *Bioelectromagnetics* 24(6): 431-439.
  14. Selvam R, Ganesan K, Raju KN, Gangadharan AC, Manohar BM, et al. (2007) Low frequency and low intensity pulsed electromagnetic field exerts its antiinflammatory effect through restoration of plasma membrane calcium ATPase activity. *Life Sciences* 80(26): 2403-2410.
  15. Panagopoulos DJ, Karabarounis A, Margaritis LH (2002) Mechanism for action of electromagnetic fields on cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 298(1): 95-102.
  16. Varani K, Gessi S, Merighi S, Iannotta V, Cattabriga E, et al. (2002) Effect of low frequency electromagnetic fields on A2A adenosine receptors in human neutrophils. *Br J Pharmacol* 136(1): 57-66.
  17. Sieroń A, Cieślak G (2002) Application of variable magnetic fields in medicine--15 years experience. *Wiad Lek* 56(9-10): 434-441.
  18. Drozdovski BY (1994) Use of Magnetolaser Therapy with an AMLT-01 Apparatus in Complex Therapy for Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Fiz Med* 4: 1-2.
  19. Grigor'eva VD (1980) Therapeutic Application of Low-Frequency and Constant Magnetic Fields in Patients with Osteoarthritis Deformans and Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Vopr Kurortol Fizioter Lech Fiz Kult* 4: 29-35.
  20. Grigor'eva VD, Badalov NG, Guliaeva EN (1994) The therapeutic use of physical factors in the combined therapy of patients with psoriatic arthritis. *Voprosy kurortologii, fizioterapii, ilyechnoi fizicheskoi kultury* 6: 48-52.
  21. Shlyapok EA (1992) Use of Alternating Low-Frequency Magnetic Fields in Combination with Radon Baths for Treatment of Juvenile Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Vopr Kurortol Fizioter Lech Fiz Kult* 4: 13-17.
  22. Ciombor DM, Aaron RK, Wang S, Simon B (2003) Modification of osteoarthritis by pulsed electromagnetic field-a morphological study. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage* 11(6): 455-462.
  23. De Mattei M, Fini M, Setti S, Ongaro A, Gemmati D, et al. (2007) Proteoglycan synthesis in bovine articular cartilage explants exposed to different low-frequency low-energy pulsed electromagnetic fields. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 15(2): 163-168.
  24. Kumar VS, Kumar DA, Kalaivani K, Gangadharan AC, Raju KV, et al. (2005) Optimization of pulsed electromagnetic field therapy for management of arthritis in rats. *Bioelectromagnetics* 26(6): 431-439.
  25. Mizushima Y, Akaoka I, Nishida Y (1975) Effects of magnetic field on inflammation. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* 31(12): 1411-1412.
  26. Nadasdi M (1960) Inhibition of experimental arthritis by athermic pulsating short waves in rats. *Orthopedics* 2: 105-107.
  27. Wilmot JJ, Chiego DJ, Carlson DS, Hanks CT, Moskwa JJ (1993) Autoradiographic study of the effects of pulsed electromagnetic fields on bone and cartilage growth in juvenile rats. *Archives of Oral Biology* 38(1): 67-74.
  28. Hug K, Rösli M (2012) Therapeutic effects of whole-body devices applying pulsed electromagnetic fields (PEMF): A systematic literature review. *Bioelectromagnetics* 33(2): 95-105.
  29. Pinna S, Landucci F, Tribuiani AM, Carli F, Venturini A (2013) The effects of pulsed electromagnetic field in the treatment of osteoarthritis in dogs: clinical study. *Pak Vet J* 33(1): 96-100.
  30. Aaron RK, Ciombor DM, Jolly G (1989) Stimulation of experimental endochondrial ossification by low-energy pulsing electromagnetic fields. *J Bone Miner Res* 4(2): 227-233.
  31. Sakai A, Suzuki K, Nakamura T, Norimura T, Tsuchiya T (1991) Effects of pulsing electromagnetic fields on cultured cartilage cells. *Int Orthop* 15(4): 341-346.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License

Your next submission with Juniper Publishers  
will reach you the below assets

- Quality Editorial service
- Swift Peer Review
- Reprints availability
- E-prints Service
- Manuscript Podcast for convenient understanding
- Global attainment for your research
- Manuscript accessibility in different formats  
( Pdf, E-pub, Full Text, Audio)
- Unceasing customer service

Track the below URL for one-step submission

<https://juniperpublishers.com/online-submission.php>